

CSE 307: Principles of Programming Languages

Logic Programming

R. Sekar

1/40

Section 1

Logic Programming

2/40

Topics

1. Logic Programming

3/40

Logic and Programs

- “All men are mortal; Socrates is a man; Hence Socrates is mortal”

$$\forall X. \text{man}(X) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(X)$$

$$\text{man}(\text{socrates})$$

- Predicate logic
 - Predicates (e.g. man, mortal) which define sets.
 - Atoms (e.g. socrates) which are data values
 - Variables (e.g. X) which range over data values
 - Rules (e.g. $\forall X. \text{man}(X) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(X)$) which define relationships between predicates.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <pre>mortal(X) :- man(X). man(socrates).</pre> | <pre>let isMortal(x) = isMan(x);; let isMan(x) = (x = socrates);;</pre> |
|--|---|

4 / 40

Logic Programs

```
mortal(X) :- man(X).
man(socrates).

?- mortal(socrates).
yes
?- mortal(X).
X=socrates ;
no
```

5 / 40

Relations and Logic Programs

- Unary predicates (e.g. man, mortal) define *sets*.

Predicates with higher arity (binary, ternary etc) define *relations*. Example:

```
flight(jfk, dfw).      flight(stl, jfk).
flight(dfw, lax).     flight(stl, dfw).
flight(lga, stl).
```

- **Facts:** sets and relations whose definitions do not depend on anything else. (e.g. man(socrates)).

“extensional data base” (EDB)

6 / 40

Relations and Logic Programs (Contd.)

- **Rules** define *computed* sets and relations (e.g. mortal).

“intensional data base” (IDB) relations

```
canFly(Source, Dest) :- flight(Source, Dest).
canFly(Source, Dest) :- flight(Source, Stopover),
    canFly(Stopover, Dest).
```

7 / 40

Programming with Logic

- Data structures:
 - Atomic data such as socrates, lga, etc.
 - Data structures by constructing *terms* (tree structures):
 - []: nil list
 - [X|Xs]: list with X as its head and Xs as its tail
 - prog(P, D, S): a structure with prog as the *root* symbol, and P, D, and S as its children
- Example programs: append(Xs, Ys, Zs): Xs, Ys, and Zs are lists such that Zs is the concatenation of Xs and Ys.

```
append([], Ys, Ys).
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-
    append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
```

8 / 40

From Functional to Relational Programming

```
let rec append(l, ys) =
  match l with
  [] -> ys
  x::xs -> x::append(xs, ys)
```

```
let rec reverse l =
  match l with
  [] -> []
  x::xs ->
    append((reverse xs), [x])
```

```
append([], Ys, Z) :- Z=Ys.
append([X|Xs], Ys, Z) :-
  append(Xs, Ys, Zs),
  Z = [X|Zs].
```

```
append([], Ys, Ys).
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-
  append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
```

```
reverse([], Z) :- Z=[].
reverse([X|Xs], Z) :-
  reverse(Xs, T),
  append(T, [X], Z).
```

9 / 40

SML and Prolog

<pre> fun rev1(x::xs, ys) = rev1(xs, x::ys) rev1(nil, ys) = ys fun rev(xs) = rev1(xs, []) datatype tree = Node of int * tree * tree Leaf of int; fun search(Node(i,l,r), j) = if (j<=i) then search(l,j) else search(r,j) search(Leaf(i), j) = i = j; </pre>	<pre> rev1([X Xs], Ys, Zs) :- rev1(Xs, [X Ys], Zs) rev1([], Ys, Ys). rev(Xs, Ys) :- rev1(Xs, [], Ys) search(node(I,L,R), J) :- (J =< I -> search(L, J); search(R, J)). search(leaf(I), I). </pre>
--	--

10 / 40

Syntax of Prolog Programs

- *Names:*
 - Variable names start with uppercase letters
 - Predicate names start with lowercase letters
 - Data constructors (called “function symbols” and “constants”) start with lowercase letters *or enclosed in single quotes*
- *Data structures:* a *term* (a tree of symbols) built using function symbols *and variables*.
 - lga
 - [1] (same as [1 | []])
 - [1,2] (same as [1 | [2 | []]])
 - f(g(a))
 - f(g(h(X)))
 - f(X, g(X))
 - (lga, jfk)

11 / 40

Syntax of Prolog Programs (Contd.)

- *Atom:* a term built with function symbols, predicate symbols and variables.
Example: `append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs])`
- *Clauses:* of the form *lhs* : – *rhs*.
Note the trailing period.
 - Clause head: An atom
 - Clause body: a comma-separated sequence of atoms.
 - Facts: clauses with empty bodies.
Written as *lhs*.
 - Rules: clauses with non-empty bodies.
- *Program:* a sequence of clauses.
- *Query:* an atom.

12 / 40

Arithmetic in Prolog

- Use of “=” simply constructs or inspects term structures.
 - For example, `X = 1 + 2` binds `X` to term `1+2`.
- Binary operator “is” should be used to *evaluate* arithmetic expressions.
 - For example, `X is 1 + 2` binds `X` to `3`.
 - Rhs of “is” must be *ground* when the operator is evaluated.
- Expressions mix real and integer arithmetic, lifting values to real whenever necessary.
- Arithmetic comparison operators: `=`, `-`, `<`, `>`, `=<`, `>=` (Note the syntax of “less-than-or-equal-to” etc.)
- `length([], 0).`
`length([X|Xs], N) :- length(Xs, M), N is M+1.`

13 / 40

How Prolog Works

Prolog attempts to check if the given query q is true by

1. Is there a clause whose left hand side corresponds to q ?
 2. If not, q is false (we say that q fails)
 3. If there is such a clause, say $l : -r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$
 - Now check if *all of* r_1, r_2, \dots are true.
 - If so, q is true (we say that q succeeds)
 - If not, repeat step (3) until there is no matching clause
- Clauses are tried in the order they appear in the program.
 - If more than one clause applies, *they are tried one after another* until the goal succeeds

14 / 40

How Prolog Works (Contd.)

```
append([], Ys, Ys).
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-
    append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
```

```
append([a,b], [c], Z)           Clause 2
append([b], [c], Z'), Z = [a|Z'] Clause 2
append([], [c], Z''), Z''=[b|Z''] Clause 1
Z''=[c], Z'=[b|Z''], Z = [a|Z'] Simplify
Z=[a,b,c]
```

15 / 40

How Prolog Works (Contd.)

```
append([], Ys, Ys).
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-
    append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
```

append(U, V, [a,b])	Clause 1, Clause 2
(1) U=[], V=[a,b]	
(2) append(U', V, [b]), U=[a U']	Clause 1, Clause 2
(2.1) U'=[], V=[b], U=[a U']	Simplify
U=[a], V=[b]	
(2.2) append(U'', V, []), U'=[b U''], U=[a U']	Clause 1
U''=[], V=[], U'=[b U''], U=[a U']	Simplify
U=[a,b], V=[]	

16 / 40

Unification

- *Unification* is the operation to make two data structures identical (i.e. “unify” them).
Predefined binary predicate = may be used to unify terms.
 - $a = a$ succeeds, $a = b$ fails, $X = a$ succeeds after binding X to a .
 - $f(X) = f(a)$ succeeds after binding X to a .
 - $g(a) = f(a)$, $f(a) = f(b)$, $f(a, b) = f(b, a)$ fail.
 - $?- f(X) = f(a)$, $X = b$.
 - $?- f(X, a) = f(b, Y)$.
 - $?- f(X, a) = f(b, X)$.
- A clause is applicable if the query (also called a *goal* or *subgoal*) **unifies** with the left hand side of the clause.

17 / 40

Unification (Contd.)

- *Substitution*: a function that maps variables to *values* (terms).
- An *unifier* of two terms t_1 and t_2 is a substitution over variables of t_1 and t_2 that make them identical.
 - The substitution $\{X \rightarrow b, Y \rightarrow a\}$ is an unifier of $f(X, a)$ and $f(b, Y)$.
 - The substitution $\{X \rightarrow b, Y \rightarrow a, Z \rightarrow c, W \rightarrow c\}$ is an unifier of $f(X, a, Z)$ and $f(b, Y, W)$.
 - The substitution $\{X \rightarrow b, Y \rightarrow a, Z \rightarrow d, W \rightarrow d\}$ is an unifier of $f(X, a, Z)$ and $f(b, Y, W)$.
 - The substitution $\{X \rightarrow b, Y \rightarrow a, Z \rightarrow W\}$ is an unifier of $f(X, a, Z)$ and $f(b, Y, W)$.
 Called the **most general unifier**
 During query evaluation, clauses are selected by computing the most general unifier.

18 / 40

A Simple Prolog Interpreter: Types

```

type nonvar = string
type var = int
type term = Var of var | Nvar of nonvar * term list
type clause = term list
type goal = term
type program = clause list

type subst = (var * term) list
type env = int (* base pointer *) * subst

type path = goal list * env

```

19 / 40

A Simple Prolog Interpreter: unify

```

let rec unify: subst -> term -> term -> subst =
  fun subst t1 t2 = match (t1, t2) with
  | (Var(x), _) -> add_subst subst x t2
  | (_, Var(y)) -> add subst y t1
  | (Nvar(c,t1s), Nvar(d,t2s)) ->
    if c=d then unify_list subst t1s t2s
    else raise Unif_fail

and unify_list subst l1 l2 = fold_left2 unify subst l1 l2

and add_subst: subst->var->term->subst = fun subst x t =
  try let t' = assoc x subst in unify subst' t' t
  with Not_found -> if t<>Var(x) then (x,t)::subst else subst

```

20 / 40

More about unification ...

- Given two terms t_1 and t_2 containing variables \bar{x}_1 and \bar{x}_2 , t_1 and t_2 are unifiable if and only if the logical formula $\exists \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 t_1 = t_2$ is satisfiable.
- Unification procedure computes a solution to the formula, i.e., a valuation for \bar{x}_1 and \bar{x}_2 that makes this formula true.
- Every solution to the formula is an instance of the solution computed by unify — the *most general unifier* property.
- *Occurs-check*: Note that $\forall X X \neq f(X)$.
 - So, in general, we need to check if X occurs in t before taking t as a substitution for X .
 - Omitted in Prolog because it has severe impact on performance
 - Interestingly, unify terminates even when it computes such cyclic substitutions!

21 / 40

More about unification ... (Continued)

- **Unification** is a *constraint-solving procedure* for equality constraints over terms.
- Many problems can be modeled in terms of such constraints

Type inference:

- For each identifier i , associate a variable T_i that holds its type.
- Constraints on T_i 's types are inferred from each use of i , whether it be as argument to a function, in an equality or match operation, etc.
- Most general unifiers yield the most general types for each identifier.

Logic program evaluation:

- Each “call” introduces a constraint between actual and formal parameters.
- Most general unifiers correspond to the most general solutions to the query

22 / 40

Type Inference Example

let h y = 0	$T_h : T_y \rightarrow int$
let g x =	$T_x : in(T_l)$
if (l x)	$T_g : T_x \rightarrow out(T_h, T_x)$
then (h x)	$T_g : int \rightarrow out(T_g, int), T_x : int$
else (g (x+1))	$T_t : \alpha list$
let rec f t =	$T_f : T_t \rightarrow \beta list$
match t with	$T_f : T_t \rightarrow out(T_g, \alpha)list$
[] -> []	$T_f : T_t \rightarrow out(T_f, T_t)$
z :: zs -> (g z) :: (f zs)	

23 / 40

Query evaluation in Prolog

- The query evaluation procedure in Prolog (called clause resolution) uses *backtracking* search.
- Given a query (goal), a clause is *applicable* if its head (lhs) unifies with the query.
- When more than one clause is applicable evaluation,
 - the first clause is selected, and query evaluation continues with the body of the clause
 - ... but we may come back to try the remaining clauses if further query evaluation using the first clause fails.
- Clauses applicable but not yet tried at any point are remembered *and are tried upon backtracking*.
- **Alternative strategy:** Eagerly compute all solutions
 - Let us write a simple interpreter for this strategy

24 / 40

A simple Prolog interpreter to compute all solutions

```

let rec call: (prog: clause list) (env:env) (goal:goal): env list =
  let paths = (map (find_path goal env) prog) in
  let viable_paths = filter (fun (_, (bp, _)) -> bp > 0) paths
  in exec_paths prog viable_paths

and exec_paths prog paths = match paths with
| [] -> []
| p1::ps -> (append (exec_path prog p1) (exec_paths prog ps))

and exec_path: program -> path -> env list =
  fun prog (glist, env) = match glist with
| [] -> [env]
| goal::goals ->
  let envs = call prog env goal in
  let newpaths = map (fun e -> (goals, e)) envs
  in (flatten (map (exec_path prog) newpaths))

```

25 / 40

A Prolog interpreter to compute all solutions (Continued)

```

let find_path: goal -> env -> clause -> path =
  fun goal (bp, subst) clause =
    let (hd::body) = alloc_locals bp clause in
    try let subst' = assign_to_formals hd goal subst
      in (body, (bp+(numvars hd)+(numvarslist body), subst'))
    with Unif_fail -> ([], (-1, subst))

let assign_to_formals hd goal subst: subst = unify subst hd goal

let rec alloc_locals: int -> term list -> term list =
  fun bp ts = let alloc_local t = match t with
| Var(i) -> Var(bp+i)
| Nvar(c, ts) -> Nvar(c, alloc_locals bp ts)
  in map alloc_local ts

```

26 / 40

Implementing Backtracking

- Simply replace eager evaluation used in the interpreter with *lazy evaluation!*
- But OCaml does not support lazy evaluation
 - Use a language like Haskell that supports lazy evaluation
 - Employ a simple trick to achieve lazy evaluation in OCaml
 - The same trick can also be used in any language that supports lambda abstractions!
 - That includes C++, JavaScript, Python, ...
- Write a top-level print function that consumes the set of solutions one-at-a-time
 - prints the first solution
 - based on user input, either terminates or continues in the print/user-input loop.

27 / 40

Lazy Evaluation in OCaml

- **Lazy evaluation:** suspend actual parameter evaluation until needed
 - The expression is stored as a *closure* that encapsulates the binding of local variables
- **Lambda definitions** already require this ability
 - The body of the function is an expression that needs to be represented as a closure
- **Idea:** Use lambda definition f_e to represent e needing lazy evaluation

$$\text{fun } f_e() \rightarrow e$$

- **Note:** f_e takes an empty argument (technically, a zero-tuple, aka `unit` in OCaml)
- Evaluation of e is suspended, until it is applied to a unit argument

28 / 40

Some types and functions for Lazy Evaluation in OCaml

- A type to represent lazily evaluated expressions
`type 'a thunk = Thunk of (unit -> 'a) | Val of 'a`
- A function to force evaluation of thunks:
`let force v = match v with Thunk x -> x() | Val x -> x`
- A variant of list type that is evaluated lazily
`type 'a lzlist = Nil | Cons of 'a * ('a lzlist thunk)`
- To operate on such lazy lists, we need to redefine familiar list operations such as `append`, `map`, `filter`, `flatten`, etc.
 - But almost no other changes needed to the interpreter!

29 / 40

Example: Redefining `map` for `lzlist`

```
type 'a thunk = Thunk of (unit -> 'a) | Val of 'a

let rec lzmap (f: 'a -> 'b) (l: 'a lzlist): 'b lzlist =
  match l with
  | Nil -> Nil
  | Cons(l1, ls) ->
    Cons((f l1), Thunk(fun () -> map f (force ls)))
```

30 / 40

A Backtracking Prolog interpreter

```

let rec call: (prog: clause list) (env:env) (goal:goal): env lzlist =
  let paths = (map (find_path goal env) prog) in
  let viable_paths = filter (fun (_, (bp, _)) -> bp > 0) paths
  in exec_paths prog viable_paths

and exec_paths prog paths = match paths with
| [] -> Nil
| p::ps-> (lzappend (exec_path prog p) (Think(fun 0 -> (exec_paths prog ps))))

and exec_path: program -> path -> lzenv list =
  fun prog (glist, env) = match glist with
| [] -> Cons(env, Val(Nil))
| goal::goals ->
  let envs = call prog env goal in
  let newpaths = lzmap (fun e -> (goals, e)) envs
  in (lzflatten (lzmap (exec_path prog) newpaths))

```

31 / 40

Controlling Search

- **If-then-else:** Written as $(c \rightarrow t ; e)$ where c, t, e are conjunction of atoms.

Example:

```

gen(N, L) :-
  (N = 0
   -> L = []
   ; M is N-1, gen(M, K), L = [N|R]).

```

32 / 40

Controlling Search (Contd.)

- **Pruning:** Proof search can be pruned using “!” (cut).
- Cut throws away other choices when more than one clause is applicable.
- **Use with care:** Prolog’s proof process may be hard to understand, and cuts may make the program difficult to comprehend!

<pre> member(X, [X _]). member(X, [_ Ys]) :- member(X, Ys). </pre>	<p>Finds elements of a list. Given X and L, <code>member(X, L)</code> determines whether X is in L or not. Given L alone, <code>member(X, L)</code> binds X to elements of L (one by one, when backtracking).</p>
<pre> member(X, [X _]) :- !. member(X, [_ Ys]) :- member(X, Ys). </pre>	<p>Finds whether or not an element is in a list. Given X and L, <code>member(X, L)</code> determines whether X is in L or not. Given L alone, <code>member(X, L)</code> binds X to the first element of L.</p>

33 / 40

Change for a dollar

```
change([H,Q,D,N,P]) :-
    member(H,[0,1,2]), /*Half-dollars*/
    member(Q,[0,1,2,3,4]), /*quarters*/
    member(D,[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]), /* dimes */
    member(N,[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,
              11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]), /*nickels*/

S is 50*H+25*Q+10*D+5*N,
S=<100,
P is 100-S.
```

34/40

Permutation

```
takeout(X,[X|R],R).
takeout(X,[F|R],[F|S]) :- takeout(X,R,S).

perm([],[]).
perm([X|Y],Z) :-perm(Y,W), takeout(X,Z,W).
```

35/40

Tree Isomorphism

```
isomorphic(void, void).
isomorphic(tree(Node, Left1, Right1),
            tree(Node, Left2, Right2)) :-
    isomorphic(Left1, Left2),
    isomorphic(Right1, Right2).
isomorphic(tree(Node, Left1, Right1),
            tree(Node, Left2, Right2)) :-
    isomorphic(Left1, Right2),
    isomorphic(Right1, Left2).
```

36/40

Checking/Generating Subtrees

```

subtree(Tree1, Tree2) :-
    isomorphic(Tree1, Tree2).
subtree(Tree1, tree(Node, Left, Right)) :-
    subtree(Tree1, Left); subtree(Tree1, Right).

```

37 / 40

N-Queens

```

solve(P) :-
    perm([1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8], P),
    combine([1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8], P, S, D),
    all_diff(S), all_diff(D).

combine([X1|X], [Y1|Y], [S1|S], [D1|D]) :-
    S1 is X1+Y1, D1 is X1-Y1,
    combine(X, Y, S, D).
combine([], [], [], []).

all_diff([X|Y]) :- \+member(X, Y), all_diff(Y).
all_diff([X]).

```

38 / 40

Merge Sort

```

merge_sort([], []).
merge_sort([X], [X]).
merge_sort(List, SortedList) :-
    split(List, First, Second),
    merge_sort(First, SortedFirst),
    merge_sort(Second, SortedSecond),
    merge(SortedFirst, SortedSecond, SortedList).

split([], [], []).
split([X], [X], []).
split([X1, X2|Xs], [X1|Ys], [X2|Zs]) :- split(Xs, Ys, Zs).

```

39 / 40

Merge Sort (Contd.)

```
merge([], X, X).
merge(X, [], X).
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X|Zs]) :-
    X=<Y,
    merge(Xs, [Y|Ys], Zs).
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [Y|Zs]) :-
    X > Y,
    merge([X|Xs], Ys, Zs).
```